



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,627	12/27/2001	Scott T. Stillman	60027.0247US1/BS01309 9302		
23552	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			ELAHEE, MD S		
	LIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2645		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/033,62	7	STILLMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Md S. Elah	ee	2645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESERVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply will, by the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and wil statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tirr I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this co	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 December 20	005.					
		D)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-23,25-27,29-33 and 35-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	DIX Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-23,25-27,29-33,35-37</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35·U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International B	•						
	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certii	ied copies not receive	:a.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	6) Other:		·,			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application has been transferred from examiner Allan Hoosain to examiner Alam Elahee.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-18,20-23,25-27,29-33,35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartier et al. (US 6,795,543) in view of McKinley, Jr. et al. (US 6,665,377) further in view of Schier et al. (US 6,233,316).

As to Claims 1,6-9,11-18,20,22-23,25-27,29-30,32-33,35-37, with respect to Figures 1 and 4-7, Cartier teaches in an advanced intelligent network, a method for using IP (voice activated

Art Unit: 2645

dialing (VAD)) service with respect to originating a communication from a first calling line number, comprising:

Page 3

encountering an originating trigger and querying a first network element to obtain instructions for routing the communication, wherein the first network element determines whether a calling line associated with the first calling line number is subscribed to IP (VAD) service (Figure 4, labels S74, S94, S104 and Col. 21, lines 35-49);

if the calling line is subscribed to IP (VAD) service, establishing a call path between the calling line and an intelligent peripheral with voice recognition and processing capabilities, wherein the intelligent peripheral prompts collection of a response (an utterance) from the calling line and translates the speech (utterance) into identifying information associated with a called line (Figure 4, labels \$74,\$94,\$104,\$114 and Col. 21, lines 35-49);

receiving a message that includes the identifying information (Figure 4, label S114); and dropping the call path between the calling line and the intelligent peripheral and completing the communication between the calling line and the called line (Figure 4, label S144 and Col. 31, lines 44-63 and Col. 22, lines 33-39);

Cartier does not teach the following limitations:

"Voice activated dialing", "feature code" and "an utterance"

However, it is obvious that Cartier suggests the limitation. This is because Cartier teaches IP with speech recognition and voice or DTMF inputs capabilities (Col. 13, lines 43-48). McKinley teaches VADs which can be IPs in AIN networks (Col. 4, lines 38-51). Schier teaches service codes (feature codes) (Col. 6, lines 30-34). Having the cited analogous art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add Application/Control Number: 10/033,627

Art Unit: 2645

VAD and feature code capabilities to Cartier's invention for voice activated dialing using

Page 4

service codes as taught by McKinley's and Schier's inventions in order to provide advanced

services to callers and called parties using codes that are easily remembered.

As to Claims 2-4, Cartier teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the advanced intelligent

network has GR 1129 capabilities (Col. 14, lines 5-15).

As to Claims 5,31, Cartier teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the intelligent peripheral

transmits the identifying information to the first network element via a TCP/IP connection (Col.

13, lines 29-34).

As to Claims 10,21, Cartier teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the call path is a primary

rate interface with ISDN signaling (Col. 13, lines 57-65).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18,20-23,25-27,29-33,35-37 have been 4.

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Md S. Elahee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7536. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,627

Art Unit: 2645

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ME

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

March 6, 2006

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600